

Conference: Interdisciplinary Congress of Renewable Energies, Industrial Maintenance, Mechatronics

and Information Technology

BOOKLET



RENIECYT - LATINDEX - Research Gate - DULCINEA - CLASE - Sudoc - HISPANA - SHERPA UNIVERSIA - E-Revistas - Google Scholar DOI - REDIB - Mendeley - DIALNET - ROAD - ORCID

Title: Predictive relationship of knowledge management and business innovation: A model based on PLS structural equations.

Authors: VILLALOBOS-ALONZO, María de los Ángeles y ROMO-GONZÁLEZ, Ana Eugenia.

Editorial label ECORFAN: 607-8695 BCIERMMI Control Number: 2019-063 BCIERMMI Classification (2019): 241019-063		RNA: 03	-2010-0326	Pages: 12 10115700-14
ECORFAN-México, S.C.			Holdings	
143 – 50 Itzopan Street		Mexico	Colombia	Guatemala
Mexico State, 55120 Zipcode	e	Bolivia	Cameroon	Democratic
Phone: +52 55 6159 2296	www.ecorfan.org	Spain	El Salvador	Republic
Skype: ecorfan-mexico.s.c.			Televen	
E-mail: contacto@ecorfan.org		Ecuador	Taiwan	of Congo
Facebook: ECORFAN-México S. C.		Poru	De	Nitara
Twitter: @EcorfanC		i ei u	Paraguay	INICaragua

Contents



Introduction



Introduction



Supposition



Introduction

Structural hypothesis:

There is a positive relationship of predictive and significant interdependence of the variances of the endogenous variables that make up the internal conditions (innovative performance, innovation of exploration, exploitation and ambidestreza and organizational culture) and external conditions (Company-University collaboration, competitiveness and business innovation indicators) and the exogenous variable knowledge management practices in the structural model for the development of innovation capabilities.



Figure 1 Relationship of the general multivariate hypothesis. Source: self made

Methodology

Content Judges (Kerlinger y Lee, (2002). Kappa de Fleiss 0.861

Criterion (Phares y Trull, 2003). Pearson



Results Measure Model

The analysis of the measurement model according to Cepeda and Roldán, (2007), Barroso, (2007), Chin, (1998) and Fornell, (1982) involves the analysis of individual item reliability, internal consistency or reliability of a scale, convergent validity analysis and discriminate validity.

Cronbach's Alpha Reliability							
Variables	Component	Question Code	α ítem				
Knowledge management practices p _c =0,876 α=0,779	Knowledge management practicesAvailable storage systemsp_c=0,876 α=0,779Shared knowledge spacesCollaborative Learning		0,735 0,669 0,673				
	Knowledge application	PG4 DI5	0,902 0,932				
Internal Conditions $p_c=0,953$ $\alpha=0,811$	Innovative performance $p_c = 0.873 \alpha = 0.831$	DI6 DI7 DI8	0,699 0,808 0,674				
	Innovation of exploration, exploitation and ambidestreza p_c =0,638 α =0,626	IEEA9 IEEA10	0,620 0,639				
	Organizational culture p _c =0,953 α=0,944	OC11 OC12 0C13	0,866 0,896 0,970				
External Conditions p _c =0,940	Company-University collaboration $p_c=0,737$ $\alpha=0,629$	CE-U14 CE-U15 CE-U16	0,646 0,794 0,622				
α=0,922	Competitiveness p _c =0,890 α=0,878	CE-U17 Cm18 Cm19 Cm20	0,629 0,831 0,843 0 873				
	Business innovation indicators p _c =0,979 α=0,978	Cm21 InIE22 InIE23 InIE23 InIE25	0,825 0,961 0,983 0,967 0,972				

Table 1 Analysis of the PLS average model.

Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0

Reliability criteria: 0.6 (low); 0.61 to 0.70 (appropriate); 0.71 to 0.80 (good); greater than 0.80 (high) (Nunnally, 1978).

Results Measure Model

Convergent validity, which describes whether the different items intended to measure a concept or construct really measure the same, then the items will be significant and highly correlated (Cepeda y Roldán, 2007).

	Convergent Validity									
Internal Conditions External Conditions										
		Component	КМР	1	2	3	4	5	6	AVE
Kno	owledg	e management practices	0,527							0,586
1. Innovative performance 2. Innovation of exploration, exploitation and ambidestreza			0,867						0,752	
			-0,020	0,904					0,817	
	0	3. Organizational culture		0,711	0,261	0,955				0,913
le E	su	4. Company-University collaboration					0,685			0,569
xterna		5. Competitiveness					0,835	0,859		0,918
6. Business innovation indicators 0,739 0,783						0,970	0,941			
		Table 2	2 Conver	gent val	idity ma	atrix.				

Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0

In general, the constructs that make up the model obtained the AVE value greater than 0.50 (Fornell, 1982), complying with the convergent validity condition.

Results Measure Model

According to Chin, (1998) the discriminant validity that is the shared variance between the construct and its measures. This measure should be greater than the variance shared between the construct with the other constructs (square correlation between the two constructs).

Discriminant validity							
Component	Innovation of exploration, exploitation and ambidestreza	Innovative performance	Organizational culture	Knowledge management practices			
DI5	-0.162	0.565	0.942	0.281			
DI6	0.040	0.973	0.589	0.391			
DI7	0.157	0.874	0.295	0.286			
DI8	-0.087	0.990	0.665	0.455			
IEEA10 IEEA9	0.887 0.920	-0.126 0.074	-0.260 -0.217	-0.423 -0.498			
OC11	-0.375	0.569	0.978	0.434			
OC12	-0.162	0.565	0.942	0.281			
OC13	-0.186	0.856	0.946	0.462			
PG1	-0.524	0.395	0.421	0.989			
PG2	-0.274	0.424	0.327	0.737			
PG3	-0.335	0.402	0.334	0.778			
PG4	-0.133	0.263	0.310	0.500			

Table 3 Convergent validity matrix.

Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0.

Component		Company- University	collaboration	Competitiveness	Business innovation	indicators	Prácticas de	Gestion del Conocimiento
	CE-U14	C	0.729	0.481		0.355		0.561
	CE-U15		0.516	0.275		0.290		0.263
	CE-U16		0.791	0.790		0.509		0.435
	CE-U17		0.671	0.698		0.885		0.412
	Cm18		0.741	0.791		0.698		0.325
	Cm19		0.781	0.922		0.927		0.614
	Cm20		0.685	0.889		0.571		0.682
	Cm21		0.707	0.830		0.478		0.390
	InIE22		0.745	0.780	ſ	0.991		0.477
	InIE23		0.678	0.714		0.945		0.446
	InIE24		0.741	0.786		0.978		0.488
	InIE25		0.702	0.754		0.966		0.474
	PG1		0.633	0.609		0.434		0.962
	PG2		0.477	0.503		0.463		0.815
	PG3		0.482	0.508		0.434		0.808
	PG4		0.342	0.356		0.274		0.555

 Table 4 Cross-load matrix and divergent validity: External Conditions.

 Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0.

Results Structural model

PLS

For the evaluation of the model two basic indices will be used R^2 y and standardized path coefficients β .





DI5 Implement innovation projects that respect the environment. DI6 Products developed from the follow-up of customer needs. DI7 Opening of national markets. DI18 Increase in customers. IEEA9 Type of Innovation. IEEA10 Innovation management. OC11 Declared culture towards innovation. OC12 Leadership (senior management support). OC13 Applications and tools (software).

Figure 2 Monogram of the Internal Conditions for the development of innovation capabilities (PLS).

Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0.

CE-U14 Reason for collaboration with the University. CE-U15 Collaboration with the University and the government sector for the development of R + D + i projects. CE-U16 The response of the academy to the demands of consulting, research and innovation in the industrial sector. CE-U17 Knowledge transfer. Cm18 Productivity (annual increase according to goals). Cm19 Innovation strategy Expenditure on R + D + i / Sales). Cm20 Registered Patents. Cm21 Design of a financial plan for the development of innovation activities. InIE22 Training oriented towards the creation of innovation. InIE23 Participation of managers in innovation activities. InIE24 Collaboration networks of which the company is a part to identify opportunities for innovation. InIE25 The possibility of implementing an idea arising from the staff of your company, so that it becomes a product or service that is launched into the market.

Figure 3 Monogram of the External Conditions for the development of innovation capabilities (PLS). *Calculations made in SmartPLS3.0.*

R² should be greater than or equal to 0.1 (Falk y Miller, 1992). Coeficientes path, < 0,3 (Chin, 1998).

Results Structural model

HE: There is a positive relationship of predictive and significant interdependence of the variances between variables [...] in the structural model for the development of innovation capacities.

Constructos	R ²	β	Sig. β	
Relationship between Innovative Performance and Organization	0,813	0,902	<0,3	
Relationship between Culture Organization and Innovation EEA	0,783	1,500	<0,3	
Relationship between EEA Innovation and Innovative Performance	0,305	0,430	<0,3	
Relationship between Business Innovation Indicators and Competitiveness	0,814	0,902	<0,3	_
Relationship between Competitiveness and Company-University Collaboration	0,861	0,928	<0,3	
Relationship between Business-University Collaboration and Business Innovation Indicators	0,852	0,923	<0,3	
PG-DI	0,186	0, 432	<0,3	
PG-IEEA	0,254	-0,504	<-0,5	
PG-CO	0,355	0, 596	<0,3	
PG-CE-U	0,431	0, 657	<0,3	
PG-Cm	0,492	0, 701	<0,3	
PG-InIE	0,233	0,483	<0,3	

*R*² Should be greater than or equal to 0.1 (Falk and Miller, 1992). Path coefficients, <0.3 (Chin, 1998).

Conclusions 01 02

03

04

Model Structural Equations	Internal conditions	External conditions	Result the proposal of a Contextualized Model
General	There is a positive statistical relatio nship at a moderate level	There is a positive and significant st atistical relationship at a high to mo derate level	Knowledge management model to d evelop innovation capabilities (Villalobos, 2015: 221).
The model based on structural equations denotes that there is a predictive and positive relationship in the deployment of processes that impact knowledge management and business innovation.	Individual and organizational knowledge is mobilized to create innovative processes, s ervices or products that will mark a differe ntiating advantage for the organization fro m its competitors.	Companies take advantage of the opportunities of the environment through collaboration or linking (competitors, customers, markets, society, government, university, business affiliations) to appropriate information and knowledge, and transform it into products, services or processes that allow them to survive and compete in dynamic and complex contexts.	In framed on the scientific and technological models of knowledge management: whose purpose is the management of technological innovation and its purpose is to promote research and development within public or private organizations (Barragán, 2009: 75). It is based on the knowledge management practices that are developed in three dimensions; organization, R + D + i and the environment, hat interact and feed back according to the predictive results shown in the monograms.

Bibliography

Alonzo, M. D. L. Á. V., & González, A. E. R. (2015). Diseño y validación de cuestionario para la exploración de capacidades de innovación para empresas de alta tecnología de México. Revista QUID, (25).

Barragán Ocaña, A. (2009). Aproximación a una taxonomía de modelos de gestión del conocimiento. Intangible Capital, vol. 5, núm. 1, p. 65-101.

Cepeda, G. y Roldan, J. L. (2007). Aplicando en la práctica la técnica PLS en la administración de empresas. Departamento de Administración de Empresas y Comercialización e Investigación de Mercados, Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales Universidad de Sevilla España.

Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. Modern methods for business research, 295(2), 295-336.

Fleiss, J.L. (1981). Statistical methods for rates and proportions. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Falk, R.F. y Miller; N.B. (1992). A Primer for Soft Modeling. Akron, Ohio: The University of Akron.

Fornell, C. (1982). A Second Generation of Multivariate Analysis: An Overview. New York: Praeger Publishers.

Kerlinger, F.N. y Lee, H.B. (2002) Investigación del Comportamiento: Métodos de Investigación en: ciencias sociales, México: McGraw-Hill Interamericana Editores.

Nunnally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Phares, E.J. y Trull, J. T. (2003) Psicología clínica: Conceptos, métodos y aspectos prácticos de la profesión. EDICIONES PARANINFO.

Villalobos, A. M. A. Modelo de gestión del conocimiento para el desarrollo de Capacidades de Innovación en el sector indusctrial de alta tecnología en México. (2015). Tesis Doctoral. Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla. UPAEP. México.



© ECORFAN-Mexico, S.C.

No part of this document covered by the Federal Copyright Law may be reproduced, transmitted or used in any form or medium, whether graphic, electronic or mechanical, including but not limited to the following: Citations in articles and comments Bibliographical, compilation of radio or electronic journalistic data. For the effects of articles 13, 162,163 fraction I, 164 fraction I, 168, 169,209 fraction III and other relative of the Federal Law of Copyright. Violations: Be forced to prosecute under Mexican copyright law. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, in this publication do not imply, uniformly in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protector in laws and regulations of Mexico and therefore free for General use of the international scientific community. BCIERMMI is part of the media of ECORFAN-Mexico, S.C., E: 94-443.F: 008- (www.ecorfan.org/ booklets)